TC2800

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Organization\_

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

# AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

0004204479

06/20/04 HOL PDEC363  $\alpha$ ï,





# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/809,066 03/15/2004 Medhat Mickael AES 04-001 6976 7590 05/22/2006 **EXAMINER** Patrick H. McCollum **BOOSALIS, FANI POLYZOS** PD Holdings (USA) Inc. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 1700 363 N. Sam Houston Parkway East 2884 Houston, TX 77060 DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

- Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comments			10/809,066	•	MICKAEL, MEDHAT	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
			Faye Boosal		2884	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, ill apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tirr xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	J. sely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 Ma	arch 2006			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
ت رو	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practi	ice under E	k parte Quay	76, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20,40-44 and 49-52</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>21-39,45-48 and 53-56</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20,41-44 and 49-52</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	•	5	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate	O-152)

Art Unit: 2884

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Comment on Submissions**

1. This communication is responsive to submission of 3 March 2006.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 40 is missing a dependency. Although a telephone interview with Patrick McCollum on May 12, 2006 authorized the amendment to claim 40 to depend on claim 37, an Examiner's amendment cannot be made based on the 112 and 101 Claim Rejections. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are indefinite for failing to disclose a system for gamma ray logging-while-drilling or a method of gain adjustment for logging-while-drilling in a single claim. See MPEP, II. PRODUCT AND PROCESS IN THE SAME CLAIM, In Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2884

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because each single claim claims both a system and a method step of using the system. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are indefinite for failing to disclose system or a method in a single claim. Claims 1-20, 41-44 and 49-52 are directed to neither a process nor a system, but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 21-39, 45-48 and 53-56 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claim 21, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest method for measuring gamma radiation while drilling a borehole comprising an adjustment of gain of the detector by using a measure of slope of a Compton scatter energy region.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for a logging-while-drilling method to measure formation density obtained from a measure of intensity of backscattered radiation in the Compton energy range (see for example *Paske et al. - US 4,698,501*– at col. 1, lines 7-17 and col. 3, lines 38-41), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest an adjustment of gain of the detector by using a measure of slope of a Compton scatter region to determine a correction factor to adjust detector gain to a standard gain.

Regarding independent claim 33, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for measuring gamma radiation while drilling a borehole comprising result of

Art Unit: 2884

the comparisons between observed position of a calibration peak from the calibration radiation and with a predetermined standard position for the calibration peak, are used to correct standard gain spectrum to a standard detector gain.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for a logging-while-drilling system comprising measuring concentrations of K, U, and Th using blocks, disposed outside of the logging tool prior to logging and later removed during logging, containing known concentrations of these materials and a gain correction circuit to adjust the gain of measured natural gamma ray spectra based upon results of fitting of measured spectra to a standard spectra (see for example *Galford et al. - US 5,120,955 A*— at col. 9, lines 47-50 and col. 10, lines 13-16), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest a means for using dual gain circuit to yield the same measured gamma ray spectra with a standard gain and a high gain.

Regarding independent claim 45, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for measuring elemental concentration of at least one naturally occurring radioactive element in a formation penetrated by a borehole, comprising: a calibration source wherein the first gain correction determined from first component features of radioactive element and second gain correction from second component from calibration source are combined to correct gain shifts in gamma ray detector.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art for a logging-while-drilling system comprising measuring concentrations of K, U, and Th using blocks, disposed outside of the logging tool prior to logging and later removed during logging, containing known concentrations of these materials and a gain correction circuit to adjust the gain

Art Unit: 2884

of measured natural gamma ray spectra based upon results of fitting of measured spectra to a standard spectra (see for example *Galford et al. - US 5,120,955 A*— at col. 9, lines 47-50 and col. 10, lines 13-16), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest a calibration source, as stated supra, to correct gain shifts in gamma ray detectors.

Regarding independent claim 53, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for measuring gamma radiation while drilling a borehole, comprising: a gamma ray detector, with a processor, yield a spectrum at a range of about 3 MeV to comprise gamma ray count rate recorded as a function of energy channel.

The examiner notes that while it is known in the art of a density logging system to comprise a cesium source emitting 0.66 MeV gamma radiation and comprising two gamma ray detectors to measure Compton scatter radiation, induced by the cesium source, to determine formation bulk density (see for example *Hubner et al. - US* 4,524,273 – at col. 5, lines 60-65), upon reconsideration the prior art does not suggest a LWD gamma ray logging system embodied to measure natural occurring radioactive elements (i.e. Th, U and K) emitting energy, broader than the range stated supra by Hubner, up to about 3 MeV.

The remaining claims 22-32, 34-39, 46-48, 54-56 are allowable based on their dependency.

9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Art Unit: 2884

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Faye Boosalis whose telephone number is 571-272-

2447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 7:30 AM to

4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMENT ...

TOTAL CENTER 2800

Page 6